

1.65  
Knighton  
IC  
N-277



N.C. ARCHIVES

Research Note

NC-277



1992 FOLWELL AVE. ST. PAUL, MN 55108

FOREST SERVICE-U.S.D.A.

1982

## SULFUR CONTENT OF UPLAND AND WETLAND VEGETATION IN NORTH CENTRAL MINNESOTA

NORTH CENTRAL FOREST LIBRARY  
Forest Service - US Dept. of Agriculture  
1992 Folwell Avenue  
St Paul, Minnesota 55108

M. Dean Knighton, Plant Ecologist  
Grand Rapids, Minnesota

LIBRARY  
NORTH CENTRAL FOREST EXPERIMENT STATION  
Forest Service - US Dept. of Agriculture  
1992 Folwell Avenue  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

**ABSTRACT.**—The sulfur concentration in 138 plant taxa is reported. Significant differences in concentration are noted between some plant life forms and between different environments.

**KEY WORDS:** sulfur cycle, plant life-form, flora, Lake States, acid precipitation.

Industrial and domestic emissions continue to pour into our atmosphere with little understood consequences (Dochinger and Seliga 1976). These emissions contain sulfur and other elements that increase the acidity, or hydrogen ion activity in precipitation. To improve our understanding of how this "acid rain" affects upland and wetland ecosystems in North America, we need to learn more about the sulfur cycle. We also need to identify all related information, and make it readily available. At the Forestry Sciences Laboratory in Grand Rapids, Minnesota, we have been analyzing the sulfur content of vegetation as part of a larger nutrient study. However, because of the urgent need to better understand the sulfur cycle we are publishing this data immediately in its simplest form.

### METHODS

The vegetation was collected from seven water impoundments and one natural deep marsh on the Chippewa National Forest in August of 1976, 1977, and 1978. Samples were collected from 24 1-m<sup>2</sup> systematically located plots in each wetland. The

plots were located on transects that extended from 90 cm-deep water to a point 60 cm above the normal water level. All vegetation was clipped on each plot (including woody species less than 2.5 cm at the base), placed in a plastic bag, and transported to the laboratory where it was either frozen immediately or kept at about 3°C for up to 2 weeks before sorting by species. The vegetation was sorted and oven-dried for 48 hours at 80°C, and then each species was composited. The composited sample was ground in a Wiley mill and thoroughly mixed, then subsampled for analysis. The foliage and wood of woody species were ground together. The analysis was performed at the Research Analytical Laboratory at the University of Minnesota in St. Paul, Minnesota. Digestion was done by nitric and perchloric acids and SO<sub>4</sub> (later converted to S) content determined turbidmetrically with barium chloride (Blanchard *et al.* 1965).

The samples included the natural mineral and biotic material that adheres to submerged plant surfaces. When using and interpreting the data keep in mind this source of sulfur that could not be partitioned.

The species were grouped by life form, and mean sulfur contents were tested for significant differences ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) by analysis of variance and least significant difference methods. Also, values for individual species within life form groups were compared, using simple regression, with values reported for these species by Gerloff *et al.* (1964) in Wisconsin.

N.C. ARCHIVES

## DISCUSSION

Differences in mean sulfur content were significant only between woody and nonwoody plants (table 1). In South Carolina, Boyd (1978) grouped wetland species by life form and reported sulfur contents of 0.31, 0.18, and 0.24 percent for submerged, floating-leaved, and emergent life forms, respectively. These values are remarkably similar to ours (0.31, 0.16, and 0.23 percent), suggesting that real differences may exist in these life forms but that more information is needed to increase statistical sensitivity.

Table 1.—*Sulfur content of impoundment vegetation summarized by life form groups*

(In percent)

Life form	Mean sulfur content and 95 percent confidence interval	Species (n)
Nonwoody		
Submergents	0.31 ± 0.06	4
Floating-leaved	0.16	2
Emergents	0.23 ± 0.03	65
Terrestrial	0.21 ± 0.05	27
Woody		
Shrubs	0.10 ± 0.03	25
Trees	0.10 ± 0.02	15

<sup>1</sup>Means are significantly different ( $\alpha$  0.05) between woody and nonwoody groups.

The low sulfur content of shrubs and trees was expected because woody tissue is known for its low sulfur content (Remezov *et al.* 1955). An accurate estimate of sulfur in these species will require partitioning (Young and Carpenter 1967) of the biomass into various components, particularly if they exceed the 2.5 cm basal diameter included in our study.

Our data showed trends similar to those of Gerloff *et al.* in Wisconsin (table 2). Most notable is the indication that emergent species common on submerged to poorly drained soils contain more sulfur than species commonly found on drier sites. Although this observation is supported by Siccama *et al.* (1970) who report a 0.20 percent mean sulfur content for terrestrial vegetation, it must be viewed cautiously in light of Bowen's (1966) report of a 0.34 percent mean for terrestrial vegetation. The values found by Gerloff *et al.* for each life form represented also tend to be higher than the values we found, perhaps because of different analytical methods. When the two data sets were compared species-to-species within groups, no regression coefficient was significantly different from zero ( $\alpha$  0.05). This result emphasizes the extreme variability of the data and indicates that use of the life form mean for all species within the group is as reliable as individual species values.

Table 2.—*Sulfur content of species common to both the present study and to the Wisconsin study by Gerloff et al. (1964)*

(In percent)

Life form	Mean sulfur content and 95 percent confidence interval		Species (n)
	Knighton	Gerloff	
Nonwoody			
Submergents	-	-	0
floating-leaved	-	-	0
Emergents	0.18 ± 0.10	0.26 ± 0.16	9
Upland <sup>1</sup> 0.06	0.12 ± 0.06	0.21 ± 0.05	17
Woody			
Shrubs	0.09 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.06	10
Trees	0.08 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.03	6

<sup>1</sup>Means are significantly different ( $\alpha$  0.05).

## LITERATURE CITED

- Blanchard, R. W., G. Rehm, and A. C. Caldwell. 1965. Sulfur in plant materials by digestion with nitric and perchloric acid. *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings* 29:71-72.
- Bowen, H. J. M. 1966. *Trace Elements in Biochemistry*. 241 p. Academic Press, London and New York.
- Boyd, C. E. 1978. Chemical composition of wetland plants. p. 155-167. *In Freshwater Wetlands: Ecological Processes and Management Potential*. R. E. Good, D. F. Whigham, and R. L. Simpson, eds. 378 p. Academic Press, London and New York.
- Dochinger, L. S., and T. A. Seliga, ed. 1976. *Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Acid Precipitation and the Forest Ecosystem*. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, General Technical Report NE-23, 1074 p. U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, Upper Darby, Pennsylvania.
- Gerloff, G. C., D. G. Moore, and J. T. Curtis. 1964. Mineral content of native plants of Wisconsin. Research Report 14, 27 p. Wisconsin Agricultural Experiment Station, Madison, Wisconsin.
- Gleason, H. A. 1968. *The New Britton and Brown Illustrated Flora of the Northeastern United States and Adjacent Canada*. Vol. I, II, and III. Published for the New York Botanical Garden by Hafner Publishing Company, Inc., New York.
- Remezov, N. P., L. N. Bykova, and K. M. Smirnova. 1955. *Nitrogen and mineral cycles in forests*. Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Trudy Instituta Lesa,

Vol. 24, p. 167-194. English translation IPST Cat. No. 1659, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Siccama, T. G., F. H. Bormann, and G. E. Likens. 1970. The Hubbard Brook Ecosystems Study: Productivity, nutrients, and phytosociology of the herbaceous layer. Ecological Monographs 40:389-402.

Young, H. E., and P. M. Carpenter. 1967. Weight, nutrient element and productivity studies of seedlings and saplings of eight tree species in natural ecosystems. Technical Bulletin 28, 11 p. Maine Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Maine, Orono.

## APPENDIX

Sulphur content of plant species in and around water-impoundments of north central Minnesota.  
Species names are in accordance with Gleason (1968).

(In percent)

Species	Sulfur			
	1976	1977	1978	Mean
<b>SUBMERGENTS</b>				
<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i> L.	0.34	-	0.40	0.37
<i>Myriophyllum exhalbescens</i> Fern.	-	0.29	0.33	0.31
<i>Potamogeton</i> spp. L.	0.29	0.34	0.21	0.28
<i>Utricularia vulgaris</i> L.	0.26	0.25	0.38	0.30
<b>FLOATING-LEAVED</b>				
<i>Nuphar variegatum</i> Engelm.	-	-	0.12	0.12
<i>Nymphaea tuberosa</i> Paine.	0.24	0.23	0.09	0.19
<b>EMERGENTS</b>				
<i>Acorus Calamus</i> L.	0.24	0.19	0.12	0.18
<i>Agrostis</i> spp. L.	0.23	-	-	0.23
<i>Alopecurus Aequalis</i> Sobol.	0.29	-	-	0.29
<i>Bidens</i> spp. L.	0.23	0.16	0.28	0.22
<i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i> (Michx.) Beauv.	0.13	-	-	0.13
<i>Calamagrostis inexpansa</i> Gray.	0.15	-	-	0.15
<i>Calamagrostis neglecta</i> (Ehrh.) Gaertn.	0.13	-	-	0.13
<i>Campanula aparinoides</i> Pursh.	0.16	-	-	0.16
<i>Carex</i> spp. L.	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.16
<i>Carex comosa</i> Boott.	0.17	-	-	0.17
<i>Carex lasiocarpa</i> Ehrh.	0.13	-	-	0.13
<i>Carex Pseudo-Cyperus</i> L.	0.14	-	-	0.14
<i>Carex rostrata</i> Stokes.	0.15	-	-	0.15
<i>Carex suberecta</i> (Olney) Britt.	-	0.16	-	0.16
<i>Chenopodium album</i> L.	0.31	-	-	0.31
<i>Cicuta bulbifera</i> L.	0.32	0.25	-	0.28
<i>Dulichium arundinaceum</i> (L.) Britt.	-	0.20	0.12	0.16
<i>Eleocharis</i> spp. R.Br.	-	0.22	0.12	0.17
<i>Epilobium adenocaulon</i> Haussk.	0.19	0.24	-	0.22
<i>Equisetum fluviatile</i> L.	0.19	-	-	0.19
<i>Equisetum palustre</i> L.	0.27	-	-	0.27
<i>Eupatorium maculatum</i> L.	0.31	-	-	0.31
<i>Eupatorium perfoliatum</i> L.	-	-	0.15	0.15
<i>Galium</i> spp. L.	280.32	0.14	0.25	
<i>Geum</i> spp. L.	0.14	-	-	0.14
<i>Glyceria borealis</i> (Nash) Batchelder	0.21	-	-	0.21
<i>Glyceria canadensis</i> (Michx.) Trin.	0.39	-	-	0.39
<i>Glyceria grandis</i> S.Wats.	0.25	-	-	0.25
<i>Glyceria septentrionalis</i> Hitchc.	0.17	-	-	0.17
<i>Impatiens biflora</i> Willd.	0.30	0.32	0.27	0.30
<i>Iris versicolor</i> L.	0.15	0.13	0.10	0.12
<i>Laportea canadensis</i> (L.) Gaud.	0.76	-	-	0.76

Species	Sulfur			
	1976	1977	1978	Mean
<b>EMERGENTS (cont'd)</b>				
<i>Leersia oryzoides</i> (L.) Sw.	0.20	-	-	0.20
<i>Lycopus americanus</i> Muhl.	0.35	0.36	0.29	0.33
<i>Lycopus uniflorus</i> Michx.	0.27	0.18	0.17	0.24
<i>Mentha arvensis</i> L.	0.36	0.27	0.10	0.24
<i>Naumburgia thyrsiflora</i> L.Duby.	0.19	-	0.15	0.17
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i> L.	0.14	0.20	0.32	0.22
<i>Phragmites communis</i> Trin.	0.11	-	0.36	0.24
<i>Poa</i> spp. L.	0.09	-	0.18	0.14
<i>Polygonum ciliinode</i> Michx.	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.14
<i>Polygonum lapathifolium</i> L.	0.14	0.36	-	0.21
<i>Polygonum natans</i> Eat.	0.24	0.20	0.18	0.21
<i>Potentilla palustris</i> (L.) Scop.	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.13
<i>Ranunculus Gmelini</i> DC.	0.33	0.29	-	0.31
<i>Ranunculus pensylvanicus</i> L.f.	0.20	0.24	-	0.22
<i>Rorippa islandica</i> (Oeder) Borbas.	0.38	-	-	0.38
<i>Rumex</i> spp.L.	-	0.12	-	0.12
<i>Sagittaria latifolia</i> Willd.	0.18	-	0.20	0.19
<i>Scirpus americanus</i> Pers.	-	-	0.17	0.17
<i>Scirpus cyperinus</i> (L.) Kunth.	0.21	0.21	-	0.21
<i>Scirpus subterminalis</i> Torr.	-	0.21	-	0.21
<i>Scirpus validus</i> Vahl.	0.23	-	-	0.23
<i>Scutellaria galericulata</i> L.	0.22	0.27	-	0.24
<i>Scutellaria lateriflora</i> L.	0.26	-	0.22	0.24
<i>Sium suave</i> Walt.	0.65	0.46	0.25	0.45
<i>Solidago</i> spp. L.	0.15	0.12	0.24	0.17
<i>Sparganium fluctuans</i> (Morong.) Robins.	0.29	-	-	0.29
<i>Stachys palustris</i> L.	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.17
<i>Triadenum virginicum</i> (L.) Raf.	0.18	0.35	-	0.27
<i>Typha latifolia</i> L.	0.14	0.18	0.13	0.15
<i>Urtica dioica</i> L.	0.52	0.35	-	0.44
<i>Zizania aquatica</i> L.	0.17	0.09	0.28	0.18
<b>TERRESTRIAL</b>				
<i>Anemone</i> spp. L.	0.35	0.44	-	0.40
<i>Apocynum androsaemifolium</i> L.	-	0.40	-	0.40
<i>Aralia nudicaulis</i> L.	0.16	0.18	0.14	0.16
<i>Aster</i> spp. L.	0.18	0.09	-	0.13
<i>Aster cordifolius</i> L.	-	0.08	-	0.08
<i>Aster macrophyllus</i> L.	0.14	0.11	0.13	0.12
<i>Athyrium Filix-femina</i> (L.) Roth.	0.19	-	-	0.19
<i>Cirsium arvense</i> (L.) Scop.	0.30	0.56	0.25	0.37

Species	Sulfur			
	1976	1977	1978	Mean
<b>TERRESTRIAL (con't)</b>				
<i>Clintonia borealis</i> (Ait.) Raf.	-	-	0.12	0.12
<i>Cornus canadensis</i> L.	0.25	0.25	0.21	0.24
<i>Dryopteris</i> spp. Adans.	0.25	0.13	-	0.19
<i>Fragaria</i> spp. L.	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.12
<i>Galium triflorum</i> Michx.	0.19	0.19	-	0.19
<i>Geranium Robertianum</i> L.	-	0.44	-	0.44
<i>Heracleum lanatum</i> Michx.	0.56	-	-	0.56
<i>Lactuca canadensis</i> L.	0.14	0.15	-	0.14
<i>Lathyrus</i> spp. L.	0.34	0.17	-	0.26
<i>Lycopodium obscurum</i> L.	0.12	-	0.12	0.12
<i>Maianthemum canadense</i> Desf.	0.17	0.35	0.15	0.22
<i>Osmunda Claytoniana</i> L.	-	-	0.17	0.17
<i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i> (L.) Planch.	-	0.13	-	0.13
<i>Pteridium aquilinum</i> (L.) Kuhn.	0.14	0.10	0.06	0.10
<i>Smilacina trifolia</i> (L.) Desf.	0.12	0.11	-	0.12
<i>Streptopus roseus</i> Michx.	-	-	0.24	0.24
<i>Thalictrum dioicum</i> L.	-	0.13	0.20	0.16
<i>Uvularia grandiflora</i> Sm.	0.15	-	-	0.15
<i>Viola</i> spp. L.	0.23	0.16	-	0.19
<b>SHRUBS</b>				
<i>Alnus rugosa</i> (DuRoi) Spreng.	-	0.08	0.08	0.08
<i>Amelanchier</i> spp. Medic.	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.04
<i>Arctostaphylos Uva-ursi</i> (L.) Spreng.	0.14	-	-	0.14
<i>Cornus stolonifera</i> Michx.	0.31	0.12	0.10	0.18
<i>Corylus americana</i> Walt.	0.05	0.05	-	0.05
<i>Corylus cornuta</i> Marsh.	-	0.05	0.02	0.04
Dead shrub	-	0.05	0.02	0.04
<i>Diervilla Lonicera</i> Mill.	0.08	-	-	0.08
<i>Lonicera</i> spp. L.	0.31	-	-	0.31
<i>Ostrya virginiana</i> (Mill.) K. Koch.	0.05	-	-	0.05

Species	Sulfur			
	1976	1977	1978	Mean
<b>SHRUBS (con't)</b>				
<i>Rhamnus alnifolius</i> L'Her.	-	0.05	-	0.05
<i>Ribes</i> spp. L.	0.10	0.13	0.12	0.12
<i>Rosa</i> spp. L.	0.10	-	0.04	0.07
<i>Rubus</i> spp. L.	-	0.17	0.07	0.12
<i>Salix</i> spp. L.	0.06	0.14	0.08	0.10
<i>Salix Bebbiana</i> Sarg.	0.05	-	-	0.05
<i>Salix discolor</i> Muhl.	0.12	-	-	0.12
<i>Salix petiolaris</i> Sm.	0.16	-	-	0.16
<i>Salix rigida</i> Muhl.	0.13	-	-	0.13
<i>Spiraea</i> spp. L.	0.08	-	0.06	0.07
<i>Vaccinium myrtilloides</i> Michx.	-	0.12	0.51	0.32
<i>Vaccinium angustifolium</i> Ait.	-	0.12	-	0.12
<i>Viburnum Lentago</i> L.	-	0.06	-	0.06
<i>Viburnum Rafinesquianum</i> Schult.	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06
<i>Viburnum Opulus</i> L.	0.07	-	-	0.07
<b>TREES</b>				
<i>Abies balsamea</i> (L.) Mill.	-	-	0.06	0.06
<i>Acer rubrum</i> L.	-	0.08	0.10	0.09
<i>Acer saccharum</i> Marsh.	0.03	0.17	0.07	0.09
<i>Acer spicatum</i> Lam.	-	0.07	-	0.07
<i>Betula papyrifera</i> Marsh.	-	0.07	-	0.07
Dead tree	-	0.09	-	0.09
<i>Fraxinus nigra</i> Marsh.	-	0.11	-	0.11
<i>Picea mariana</i> (Mill.) BSP.	0.05	-	-	0.05
<i>Populus balsamifera</i> L.	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.06
<i>Populus tremuloides</i> Michx.	0.08	0.11	0.04	0.08
<i>Prunus pensylvanica</i> L. f.	-	0.08	-	0.08
<i>Prunus virginiana</i> L.	-	0.02	0.33	0.18
<i>Quercus macrocarpa</i> Michx.	-	0.07	-	0.07
<i>Quercus borealis</i> Michx. f.	-	0.13	-	0.13
<i>Ulmus americana</i> L.	-	0.11	0.27	0.19